5/22/76

Dear Mr. English,

Thanks for the clips. Glad to have them. And any more.

If you remember them, both are of theorizers.

Both are seriously flawed -- by the absence of real fact. Lattimer can't even refer to experiments (with which I'm familiar) accurately.

Each has and holds dearly to extremist political views. This, of course, is the right of each. But it shows in their unfactual comments.

The closest Lattimer comes to evidence that is or even could be relevant is in talking about a bullet path through the head. He says it was from back to front because there are fragments that way. Well, it really isn't that way, but just suppose it was. Is it not just as much from front to back in the absence of anything other than fragments (and he ignores some of them, too) to show direction?

On this point, if you are a hunter, there are countless dust-like particles to the right front of the brain. This hardly seems like from the back, but I don't know. Such fine particles do not have the imagery. Dispersal also is not consistent with ricochet, so he makes no mention.

The gentlemen of the right extreme have fallen for the propaganda. Oswald as an anti-Communist, an Orwellian. They paint him red, that makes him guilty and they twist everything that way. On the evidence, there is not a single one of the President's wounds that is even where Lattimer says it was. I've published the suppressed (until me) official evidence in Post Mortem.

Again thanks. I'me very glad to receive these clips. Hope you are well. I'm making out with the damage from the phlebitis. Since ely,